

From: (b) (6)
To: (b) (6)
Cc: (b) (6)
Subject: Request for Sourcing RE: Submission for prepub review
Date: Friday, July 01, 2016 3:22:00 PM

From: Pre-publication Review

Cc: Pre-publication Review

In an effort to expedite review, please provide sourcing related to the section concerning the (b) (4)
(b) (4) detailed on pages 4 and 5 and sourcing of the wording (b) (4)
(b) (4)

Regards,

Treasury Pre-publication Review

(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6) **From:** Pre-publication Review
Sent: Friday, July 01, 2016 2:14 PM
To: (b) (6)
Cc: (b) (6) **Cc:** Pre-publication Review
Subject: RE: Submission for prepub review

This confirms receipt of your 30 JUN 2016 pre-publication submission (b) (4)
(b) (4) to the Department of the Treasury.

We note your request for expedited review and will process within the limits of our ability to meet preferred deadlines.

For your reference, please address all correspondence, including emails related to pre-publication reviews or questions about pre-publication responsibilities, only to (b) (6)

Regards,

Treasury Pre-publication Review

(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2016 7:15 PM
To: (b) (6) **To:** Pre-publication Review
Subject: Submission for prepub review

Attached is a brief draft article on (b) (4) that I am submitting for prepublication review. If cleared, the piece would be published by a think tank and/or journal. It is entirely in my capacity as a private citizen and would not have any USG imprimatur. As the author, I would be identified as (b) (6)

Due to the currency of issues (b) (4), I am requesting an expedited review.

Thank you.

(b) (6)

From: (b) (6)
To: (b) (6)
Cc: (b) (6)
Subject: Treasury Response - June 30, 2016 Pre-Publication Submission (b) (4)
Date: Friday, July 08, 2016 4:05:00 PM
Attachments: (b) (4)

Treasury reviewed your draft document (attached) - latest revision dated June 30, 2016 (b) (4)
As written, the Department of the Treasury does not object to the draft's publication.

This pre-publication review determination is not an endorsement of the writer's views nor confirmation of the accuracy of the information submitted for review.

Thank you for fulfilling your pre-publication review obligation.

Please address all correspondence, including emails related to this review, to (b) (6)

Pre-publication Review
(b) (6)

-----Original-----
From: (b) (6)
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2016 7:15 PM
To: (b) (6) **To:** Pre-publication Review
Subj:

Attached is a brief draft article on (b) (4) that I am submitting for prepublication review. If cleared, the piece would be published by a think tank and/or journal. It is entirely in my capacity as a private citizen and would not have any USG imprimatur. As the author, I would be identified as (b) (6)

Due to the currency of issues (b) (4) I am requesting an expedited review.

Thank you.

(b) (6)

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

(b) (6)

Friday, July 08, 2016 4:23 PM

To: Pre-publication Review

(b) (6)

Re: Treasury Response - June 30, 2016 Pre-Publication Submission (b) (4)

(b) (4)

Thank you for your speedy response. In the meantime, I've started to reorganize the draft. I will resubmit the revised version to you when that's completed. Sorry to run this up the flagpole more than once.

very best regards,

(b) (6)

Pre-publication Review

On Friday, July 8, 2016 4:05 PM, (b) (6)

wrote:

Treasury reviewed your draft document (attached) - latest revision dated June 30, 2016 - (b) (4) As written, the Department of the Treasury does not object to the draft's publication.

This pre-publication review determination is not an endorsement of the writer's views nor confirmation of the accuracy of the information submitted for review.

Thank you for fulfilling your pre-publication review obligation.

Please address all correspondence, including emails related to this review, to

(b) (6)

Pre-publication Review

(b) (6)

----- (b) (6)

From:

Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2016 7:15 PM

To: (b) (6)

Subject: Submission for prepub review To: Pre-publication Review

Attached is a brief draft article on (b) (4) that I am submitting for prepublication review. If cleared, the piece would be published by a think tank and/or journal. It is entirely in my capacity as a private citizen and would not have any USG imprimatur. As the author, I would be identified as (b) (6)

Due to the currency of issues (b) (4), I am requesting an expedited review.

Thank you.

(b) (6)



From: (b) (6)
To: (b) (6)
Cc: (b) (6); Maher, Mike; (b) (6)
Subject: RE: Pre-publication Review
Date: Friday, June 15, 2012 4:24:12 PM

To: Pre-publication Review

Thank you all very much.

I will emphasize that I am speaking as a private citizen and do not purport to speak for Treasury. (There are scheduled to be two current Treasury employees speaking at the same conference.)

I miss you guys. Keep up the strong work!

Have a great weekend.

(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----
From: (b) (6)
Sent: Friday, June 15, 2012 4:09 PM
To: (b) (6)
Cc: (b) (6); Mike.Maher@treasury.gov; (b) (6)
Subject: FW: Pre-publication Review

(b) (6)

Thank you for the clarification regarding your sourcing.

We reviewed your attached presentation (1.ppt). As written, it contains no sensitive information and is appropriate for unlimited/unrestricted public distribution.

It is requested that during your presentation, you emphasize that you do not represent Treasury.

Please contact us if you have any questions.

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Tuesday, June 12, 2012 10:52:13 AM
To: (b) (6) To: Pre-publication Review
Cc: Maher, Mike; (b) (6)
Subject: Pre-publ
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Good morning. I am sending this email to this account (b) (6) suggestion.

As some of you may remember, I worked at Treasury (b) (6) I am now in the private sector.

I have been invited to speak about U.S. counterterrorism sanctions at a conference at New York University later this month. This is my first public speaking engagement since leaving Treasury. I am seeking pre-publication review of the attached 9-slide PowerPoint presentation, because I will speak about information I learned because of my employment with the Treasury Department.

I don't believe there is any sensitive information in this presentation, and I do not intend to disclose any, but I would appreciate your guidance.

I am cc'g my former supervisor and my former deputy for their information (b) (6) if you see anything here that raises concerns, please contact (b) (6) me as appropriate. I'd be happy to accommodate your concerns.

Thanks,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)



From: (b) (6)
To:
Cc: [Maher, Mike](#); (b) (6)
Subject: RE: Pre-publication Review
Date: Wednesday, June 13, 2012 6:00:10 PM

To: Pre-publication Review

Thank you very much.

-----Original Message----- From: Pre-publication Review
From: (b) (6)
Sent: Wednesday, June 13, 2012 5:35 PM
To: (b) (6)
Cc: Mike.Maher@treasury.gov (b) (6)
Subject: RE: Pre-publication Review

We are finalizing the internal review and will advise soonest as to the required changes.

Thanks...

(b) (6)



From: (b) (6)
Sent: Wednesday, June 13, 2012 6:34:33 PM
To: Maher, Mike (b) (6) To: Pre-publication Review
Cc: (b) (6)
Subject: RE: Pre-publication Review
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Thank you, gentlemen. FYI, everything but the first and ninth slides are copied almost verbatim from public presentations I gave when I was (b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Mike.Maher@treasury.gov [mailto:Mike.Maher@treasury.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, June 13, 2012 6:32 PM
To: (b) (6) To: Pre-publication Review
Cc: (b) (6)
Subject: Re: Pre-publication Review

We might have a couple tweaks.

----- Original Message -----

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Wednesday, June 13, 2012 05:10 PM
To: (b) (6) To: Pre-publication Review
Cc: (b) (6)
Subject: RE: Pre-p

To follow up, the organizer of this conference asked me if she could have my materials by Friday.

I remember well how busy you all are, and I don't know whether Friday is a realistic deadline for you to complete your review.

But if that sounds reasonable to you, it would be a big relief to me and to the organizer if I could hear from you by the end of this week.

Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns at all.

Thank you again.

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Tuesday, June 12, 2012 10:52 AM

To: (b) (6)

Cc: 'Mike.Maher@treasury.gov' (b) (6)

Subject: Pre-publication Review

To: Pre-publication Review

Good morning. I am sending this email to this account (b) (6) suggestion.

As some of you may remember, I worked at Treasury (b) (6) I am now in the private sector.

I have been invited to speak about U.S. counterterrorism sanctions at a conference at New York University later this month. This is my first public speaking engagement since leaving Treasury. I am seeking pre-publication review of the attached 9-slide PowerPoint presentation, because I will speak about information I learned because of my employment with the Treasury Department.

I don't believe there is any sensitive information in this presentation, and I do not intend to disclose any, but I would appreciate your guidance.

I am cc'g my former supervisor and my former deputy for their information (b) (6) if you see anything here that raises concerns, please contact (b) (6) me as appropriate. I'd be happy to accommodate your concerns.

Thanks.

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

(b) (6)



From: (b) (6)
To: (b) (6)
Cc: (b) (6) Maher, Mike (b) (6) Cc: Pre-publication Review
Subject: RE: Pre-publication Review
Date: Friday, June 15, 2012 3:46:22 PM

Just following up. The organizer was hoping to hear from me by COB today, if that deadline remains possible. I remember well how busy Friday afternoons used to be at Treasury, and I completely understand if this has been eclipsed by higher priorities that are competing for your attention.

Please let me know one way or the other, and I can manage their expectations.

Thanks,

(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Thursday, June 14, 2012 12:04 PM
To: (b) (6)
Cc: (b) (6) 'Mike.Maher@treasury.gov' Cc: Pre-publication Review
Subject: RE: Pre-publication Review

(b) (6)

Thank you very much for looking at this so quickly.

The last bullet on slide two was grounded in (b) (4) remarks to (b) (4)

In particular, I tried to boil down in PowerPoint style this paragraph from his remarks:

(b) (4)

As we discussed, I think we agree with the truth of the matter asserted (that there are a lot of national security priorities competing for the attention of senior Treasury officials, and terrorism is a big one). From a sensitivity standpoint, I've heard them say that publicly, and the same point is made in (b) (4) remarks on the website (and probably elsewhere on the website, too -- I haven't conducted an exhaustive search, but just remembered (b) (4) because I reviewed a draft).

I am not sure I understand the sensitivity with my repeating the point in fewer words in this slide. I thought the purpose of pre-publication review was to ensure I am not, inadvertently or otherwise, disclosing classified or sensitive information. If I'm misunderstanding the purpose of this review, or if I've misunderstood the nature of

your concern with the bullet, please give me another call.

Thanks again.

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Mike.Maher@treasury.gov [mailto:Mike.Maher@treasury.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, June 13, 2012 6:32 PM

To: (b) (6)

Cc:

Sub

To: Pre-publication Review

We might have a couple tweaks.

----- Original Message -----

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Wednesday, June 13, 2012 05:10 PM

To: (b) (6)

Cc:

Subject: RE: Pre-publication Review

To: Pre-publication Review

To follow up, the organizer of this conference asked me if she could have my materials by Friday.

I remember well how busy you all are, and I don't know whether Friday is a realistic deadline for you to complete your review.

But if that sounds reasonable to you, it would be a big relief to me and to the organizer if I could hear from you by the end of this week.

Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns at all.

Thank you again.

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Tuesday, June 12, 2012 10:52 AM

To: (b) (6)

Cc: 'Mike.Maher@treasury.gov'; (b) (6)

Subject: Pre-publication Review

To: Pre-publication Review

Good morning. I am sending this email to this account (b) (6) suggestion.

As some of you may remember, I worked at Treasury (b) (6) I am now in the private sector.

I have been invited to speak about U.S. counterterrorism sanctions at a conference at New York University later this month. This is my first public speaking engagement since leaving Treasury. I am seeking pre-publication review of the attached 9-slide PowerPoint presentation, because I will speak about information I learned because of my employment with the Treasury Department.

I don't believe there is any sensitive information in this presentation, and I do not intend to disclose any, but I would appreciate your guidance.

I am cc'g my former supervisor and my former deputy for their information (b) (6) if you see anything here that raises concerns, please contact (b) (6) me as appropriate. I'd be happy to accommodate your concerns.

Thanks,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

